08-05-20: These minutes are subject to possible revisions/corrections during review at a subsequent Planning Board Meeting.

1 **Town of Chester** 2 **Planning Board Meeting** 3 Wednesday, August 5, 2020 4 **Virtual Meeting** 5 **Approved Minutes** 6 7 For the duration of the COVID19 pandemic, the Planning Board will be meeting electronically under the 8 emergency provisions of RSA 91A. https://zoom.us/j/92697843243, or via telephone - dial (301) 715-9 8592; the meeting ID is 926 9784 3243. 10 11 **Members & Staff Present:** 12 Evan Sederguest, Vice Chairman (@7:10 PM) 13 Elizabeth Richter, Member 14 Richard Snyder, Member 15 Aaron Hume, Alternate Member 16 Selectman Chuck Myette, Liaison 17 Andrew Hadik, Planning Coordinator 18 19 **Members Absent:** 20 Brian Sullivan, Chairman 21 Mike Weider, Member 22 23 **Others Present at Various Times:** 24 25 **Meeting Agenda** 26 27 7:00 PM – General Business 28 29 1) Review & approve minutes for the 7/22/20 PB meetings. 30 2) Review & approve the PD's request for the release of \$1,677.70 in impact fees for PPE (Taser 60 31 program). 32 3) FYI, PSNH Right-to-Know Law Request. 33 4) FYI, Mill Pine Village Subdivision Right-to-Know Law Request. 5) ZBA variances to Wetlands Setbacks. 34 35 36 7:15 Public Hearings 37 38 1) Continuation of the public hearing for the Site Plan Review Application of Elizabeth Buchanan & 39 Gene Blanchard (Owners) to construct a 6,500 square foot indoor riding arena at 43 Hart 40 Roberts Road in Chester (Map 2 Lot 63-1). 41 42 7:45 - Appointments 43 Mark Fougere, Fougere Planning & Development – Discussion about the Board's opinion regarding 44 45 Workforce Housing for inclusion in a report for the NH Housing Authority.

08-05-20: These minutes are subject to possible revisions/corrections during review at a subsequent Planning Board Meeting.

7:00 PM – General Business

Ms. Richter recommended changes to Line 99/100. Vice-Chair Sederquest recommended edits to Line

Mr. Hume motioned to approve the July 22, 2020 minutes as amended. Ms. Richter seconded the

motion. A roll call vote was taken Hume – aye, Snyder – aye, Richter – aye and Myette – abstain. The

2) Review & approve the PD's request for the release of \$1,677.70 in impact fees for PPE (Taser 60

Mr. Hadik shared a letter dated July 31, 2020 from Police Chief Berube asking for release of \$1,677.50

for the fourth year's payment of the five-year Taser 60 contract. He noted this is included under the

Mr. Hadik shared onscreen the project form with Chief Berube's explanation of the significant cost

the weapon replacement plan to include our Taser devices. Our current Taser devices are no longer

serviceable by Taser and will need to be cycled out of service. The additional \$1,000 would provide

funding for (1) one Taser device, holster, battery, and cartridges purchase per year. In 2017 we

own the Taser devices after the 5-year plan. This plan also includes a warranty on the devices."

Mr. Snyder motioned to approve the release 1,677.70 from the Police Department impact fees to

expend for the fourth year of the Taser 60 program. Ms. Richter seconded the motion. A roll call vote

was taken, Snyder – aye, Myette – aye, Richter – aye, Sederquest – aye and Hume – aye. The motion

Mr. Hadik shared onscreen a letter from BBG, a company located in Houston, TX, that was forwarded to

him as a Right-to-Know Law request concerning the Mill Pine Village subdivision. The letter states they

savings by the program: "In the 2016 / 2017 budget an additional \$1,000 was requested to be added to

purchased a Taser, holster, battery, and enough cartridges for the department with CIP funds. The total

amount was \$1,699.21. This is only one Taser. I have contacted Taser and established a lease program

under the Taser 60 program. This would provide us 8 new Tasers, holsters, batteries, and cartridges for

approximately \$2,000.00 +/- per year. The lease program has an early termination clause built into the

agreement that meets the requirements of our multi-year agreements. The lease is for 5 years and we

Alternate Aaron Hume was designated as the active member for Mr. Weider.

223 and the adjournment section as Chair Sullivan had left the meeting by then.

1) Review & approve minutes for the 7/22/20 PB meetings.

PD's Personal Protective Equipment (PPE) project line in the CIP.

46

Mr. Snyder opened the meeting at 7:01 PM by reading the meeting preamble and taking roll call.

47

48

49

50

51

52

53 54

55

56

57 58

59

motion passed 3-0-1.

program).

60

61

62 63

64

65

66 67

68 69

70 71

72 73

74 75

76 77

78 79 80

82

81

83 84

85

86

89

87 88

are "a consulting firm acting pursuant to the request of the owners of the subject facility, to conduct an

passed unanimously.

3) FYI, Mill Pine Village Subdivision Right-to-Know Law Request.

2

08-05-20: These minutes are subject to possible revisions/corrections during review at a subsequent Planning Board Meeting.

investigation of current and historical conditions which could potentially impact the condition of this property. They want the available information at the building/fire/planning and health departments related to potential issues concerning the property, or recent violations within the past year from the date of this letter. Specifically, please conduct a search of files, as they relate to past or present concerns or violations, to include Building Code, Certificate of Occupancy, Environmental, Fire Department, Health Department, and Planning Department."

Mr. Hadik noted he was unsure of the motivation for the request. Perhaps the request is part of the due diligence work for the refinancing or sale of the property. There was much discussion by the Board.

Mr. Hadik noted that he is not aware of any violations listed above having occurred in the last year. As far as the original Certificate of Occupancy, he believes they may be seeking the original Conditions of Approval for the subdivision (*a terminology issue*).

Mr. Snyder said a Right-to-Know request is not required to obtain this information. Mr. Hadik agreed, and said he will respond to the email address provided in the letter stating that, to the best of his knowledge, there have been no violations for the past year.

## 4) FYI, PSNH Right-to-Know Law Request.

Mr. Hadik shared onscreen a Right-to-Know Request Law from a resident of Derry. The individual is seeking information on "all PSNH and/or Eversource permits and/or notifications for all transmission line and/or substation work for the last 5 (?) years (2005 (?) to present.)"

Mr. Hadik noted the request is likely from an individual who believes that work done by Eversource has affected the water level in his basement. Ms. Richter questioned the relevance of the request because the property is located far into Derry and nowhere near the Derry/Chester town line.

There was some Board discussion. Mr. Hadik said the footings for the newer and taller steel transmission posts were drilled into bedrock. The holes were approximately 4′-5′ in diameter.

Mr. Hadik noted that filling the request is going to take up some time because of the large volumes of files on the transmission line work. The Board discussed allowing the individual to come and review the files

## 5) ZBA variances to Wetlands Setbacks

Mr. Hadik noted the Building Inspector is concerned about the number of variances the ZBA is granting for wetland setbacks. Mr. Hadik said he himself had concerns with one of the variances that was granted.

Mr. Snyder stated that at least two ZBA members go out and look at the property before the meetings and report on what they see. He said that most of these wetlands have low value, and are small encroachments like for a small shed. The ZBA takes these variances seriously. They ask where the water

Planning Board Meeting. runoff goes. Mr. Snyder noted it is always helpful when the Conservation Commission weighs in with 134 135 136 137 Ms. Richter indicated there is no question that the setbacks and buffers protect wetlands. 138 139 7:15 - Public Hearings 140 1. Site Plan Review Application of Elizabeth Buchanan & Gene Blanchard (Owners) to construct a 141 6,500 square foot riding arena located at 43 Hart Roberts Road in Chester (Map 2 Lot 63-1). 142 143 144 Ms. Richter motioned to open the public hearing. Mr. Hume seconded the motion. A roll call vote was 145 taken, Sederquest – aye, Hume – aye, Snyder – aye, Myette – aye and Richter – aye. The motion 146 passed unanimously. 147 148 Mr. Hadik noted this hearing was being continued from two weeks ago. An initial review letter was 149 received from DuBois & King referencing eighteen items of concern. The applicants engineer 150 subsequently submitted a revised plan and drainage report. The second review letter from DuBois & 151 King only referenced two concerns. One concern was about the rate of infiltration and the need for 152 some testing. 153 154 During the perk test, ledge was encountered. As a result, the stormwater management system must be 155 redesigned, and the drainage report must be amended. The applicant therefore submitted a request for a continuance via email to the August 12<sup>th</sup> meeting. 156 157 158 Mr. Snyder motioned to continue the public hearing for Map 2 Lot 63-1 of Elizabeth Buchanan & Gene 159 Blanchard to August 12, 2020 at 7:45 PM. Ms. Richter seconded the motion. A roll call vote was 160 taken, Sederquest – aye, Hume – aye, Snyder – aye, Myette – aye and Richter – aye. The motion passed unanimously. 161 162 163 7:45 - Appointments 164 165 Mark Fougere, Fougere Planning & Development - Discussion about the Board's opinion regarding 166 Workforce Housing for inclusion in a report for the NH Housing Authority. 167 168 Mr. Fougere stated he is working on a ten-year retrospective of the status of Workforce Housing in NH, 169 which was passed by the Legislature in 2010. The NH Housing Authority has engaged him to look at how 170 communities are dealing with the statute. Mr. Fougere will be conducting ten case studies, including 171 one on Chester. Mr. Fougere noted Chester is known for the Shaker Heights court case. 172 173 Mr. Fougere noted that Chester successfully adopted an Age-Friendly Subdivision ordinance, and 174 proposed a Workforce Housing zoning amendment this past spring which did not pass. 175 176 Mr. Snyder noted the Planning Board has never been prejudiced against Workforce Housing, but 177 struggles with how to provide it by crafting ordinances the Town would be receptive to. 178 179 Mr. Hadik noted he hopes the Board will try again this spring and do more advertising so people will 180 understand that Workforce Housing is not Low-Income or Section 8 Housing. Workforce Housing has

08-05-20: These minutes are subject to possible revisions/corrections during review at a subsequent

08-05-20: These minutes are subject to possible revisions/corrections during review at a subsequent Planning Board Meeting. 181 very generous income and price limits compared to the other two housing types. He said that 182 Workforce Housing is housing for people like firemen, police officers, nurses etc., and middle-class folks 183 who are starting out in their careers. 184 185 Selectman Myette stated Chester does not have a lot of business to employ people. Developers need an 186 incentive to build workforce housing, otherwise the only solution is to force a percentage of housing to 187 be Workforce Housing. 188 189 Mr. Fougere noted Chester's median income is \$100,000/yr. He is not sure Workforce Housing can be 190 mandated. Mr. Hadik noted the Assistant Tax Assessor recently reported that the average sale price of 191 homes in Chester over the last 6 months was \$467,000. The value of homes in the \$300,000 to \$450,00 192 category are experiencing the greatest price increases. 193 194 Mr. Hadik noted there have been no new subdivisions for around 2.5-3 years. The last approved 195 subdivision was Crowley Woods. Most of the home building has been occurring in the subdivisions that 196 were previously approved well before the recession. 197 198 Mr. Snyder said that education is an important component to passing new ordinances. Not having 199 housing for workers is a big barrier to the success of business in New Hampshire. 200 201 Mr. Hadik reviewed Shaker Heights and Oak Hill I and II subdivisions. The greatest challenge is 202 preserving the status of income-restricted units for 15-30 years. It would be easier if the units were 203 registered at the State level, and that all real estate transactions involving these units would be vetted 204 by the state to ensure they stay in that category. Mr. Hadik frequently gets calls from realtors who do 205 not understand income-related deed restrictions. Mr. Snyder noted that Mr. Hadik is not required to 206 provide a legal interpretation of the documents for them. 207 208 Adjournment 209 210 Ms. Richter motioned to adjourn the meeting at 8:15 PM. Mr. Snyder seconded the motion. A roll call 211 vote was taken, Richter – aye, Snyder – aye, Sederquest – aye, Hume – aye, Myette - aye. The motion 212 passed unanimously. 213 214 **Future Meeting Dates** 215 216 • August 12 – Anderson LLA 217 August 26 – 218 219 (Codes: PH – public hearing, PHC – public hearing continuance, CD – conceptual discussion, HB – Home 220 Business, LLA – Lot-Line Adjustment, SPR – Site Plan Review, SUB – Subdivision, CUP Conditional Use 221 Permit)

222223 Respectfully submitted,

224 Daniel Hoijer

225 Recording Secretary