1	Chester Technical Review Committee (CTRC) Meeting
2	Monday, July 24, 2017
3	Municipal Complex
4	Approved Minutes
5	
6	Members Present
7	Aaron Berube, Police Chief
8	Myrick Bunker, Building Inspector
9	Andrew Hadik, Planning Coordinator
10	Deb Munson, Conservation Commission
11	Chuck Myette, Conservation Commission
12	Scott Newnan, Lieutenant, Fire Department
13	Michael Oleson, Road Agent
14	Royal Richardson, Chairperson, Chester School Board (SAU 82)
15	
16	Others Present
17	Sylvia von Aulock, Executive Director, Southern NH Planning Commission (SNHPC)
18	
19	The meeting started at 10:05 am.
20	
21	After introductions, Andrew Hadik began the meeting by sharing some guidance from the Planning
22	Board's attorney regarding Requests and Requirements. He reminded the attendees that any items
23	suggested to the Developer that are not clearly required by the Town's Zoning regulations should be
24	assumed to be requests and not necessarily requirements.
25	
26	Andrew shared a copy of a five page summary report authored by Sylvia von Aulock and her staff at
27	SNHPC that was sent to the Chester and Candia Planning boards providing SNHPC's preliminary review
28	comments regarding the Regional Impact of the Crowley Woods Subdivision. Sylvia also provided her
29	notes from the meeting on 7/12 with the Chester Planning Board. (See attached.)
30	
31	Andrew also summarized the areas of concern already identified by the Chester Highway Department;
32	the Chester School District; the Chester Fire Department; the Chester Police Department; and the
33	Chester Conservation Commission as the discussion topics for discussion today's meeting.
34 25	
35	Chester Highway Department:
36	
37	• This began with a discussion of the proposed Phase 1 / year one construction of a
38	"Hammerhead" Turnaround to support the opening of Tanglewood Drive at Candia Tax Map Lot
39	152-4 on Crowley Road (ie; the 90 degree turn in the road).
40	

41	• This will entail building just over 2,000 feet of the road with house-lots developed
42	over the first 1,800 feet.
43	
44	$\circ$ During the follow-up to the meeting on 7/12 the Engineer indicated that the
45	Turnaround would be just beyond the point of Lots 30-107 & 30-154, which would yield
46	about 15 – 17 homes. However, on examination of the detailed plans, the Turnaround
47	would be further down, at Lot 30-149 supporting both the Turnaround and a planned
48	Cistern. (This could / would yield 8 additional homes, for a total of 23 – 25 homes in
49	Phase 1.)
50	
51	• There was concern regarding a gravel Hammerhead (a "T-shaped" turnaround,
52	requiring a 3-point turn), and that it could be problematic for large vehicles such as
53	School Buses or Fire Trucks.
54	
55	$\circ$ While a Hammerhead could be serviceable ~ and Mike Oleson pointed out that we
56	have allowed them on a temporary basis in the past $\sim$ they have proven <u>not</u> to be a good
57	option.
58	
59	• A chief concern is what if economic conditions cause the construction of the
60	subdivision to be suspended (as has occurred with other subdivisions in Chester,) and
61	Phase II, the other half of the loop road isn't built for several years or more.
62	
63	• The general group consensus was that it was preferable to require the construction of a
64	temporary gravel cul-de-sac to Town specifications. (The current regulations require a
65	cul-de-sac for dead-end roads.) The complication seems to be that this would only be
66	necessary as a temporary solution for the Builder as the road will $\sim$ eventually $\sim$ continue
67	on and loop out to Crowley Road.
68	
69	• Mike suggested that they construct a gravel cul-de-sac ~ with all necessary drainage in
70	place below ground ~ and provide a bond amount appropriate to completely reconstruct
71	the cul-de-sac that if the project founders and the road isn't completed as planned.
72	
73 •	Winter Maintenance
74	
75	• Mike felt that this wasn't going to be an issue for his department to provide Plowing
76	and Sanding if the development was completed as specified. (Notwithstanding the extra
77	expense due to the remoteness of the location.)
78	

70	
79	• The concern about narrowness of Crowley Road and the lack of snow storage space
80	in winter was discussed. The lack of snow storage space would result in making the
81	road even narrower during the winter plowing season.
82	
83	Waiver request for Driveway Slopes at Road Junctures
84	
85	• This generated a lot of discussion about Driveways in general due to the contours of
86	the land and the work necessary to meet the Town's requirement of a driveway slope not
87	to exceed 10%. (In some cases the grade of the natural terrain on the lots from the
88	proposed road could exceed 20%.)
89	
90	• Opinions were offered by the Road Agent (drainage into the road), the Fire department
91	(due to impact on access to buildings), the Conservation Commission (impact on runoff
92	and the need for swales) and the SNHPC (impact on runoff).
93	
94	• The general consensus was that there is concern about driveway runoff sheeting out
95	into the road and causing icing problems in winter. Minor swales might be required
96	in steep-slope areas where the waivers are being requested.
97	
98	• The general consensus was that this Waiver request was a "Pandora's Box" sort of
99	request. Andrew will bring these concerns back to the Town's Engineer and the Planning
100	Board.
101	
102	Chester School District:
103	
104	School Bus Access to Crowley Road
105	
105	
105	• Royal reiterated that the road is quite narrow but is <u>not</u> dissimilar to some rural roads
	• Royal reiterated that the road is quite narrow but is <u>not</u> dissimilar to some rural roads in Chester. (Mike confirmed this as well.) The SAU assumption would be that the
106	
106 107	in Chester. (Mike confirmed this as well.) The SAU assumption would be that the Chester development would be required to have Town-approved roads and an area
106 107 108	in Chester. (Mike confirmed this as well.) The SAU assumption would be that the Chester development would be required to have Town-approved roads and an area provided to accommodate operation / turn-around of a school bus as part of the approval
106 107 108 109	in Chester. (Mike confirmed this as well.) The SAU assumption would be that the Chester development would be required to have Town-approved roads and an area
106 107 108 109 110 111	in Chester. (Mike confirmed this as well.) The SAU assumption would be that the Chester development would be required to have Town-approved roads and an area provided to accommodate operation / turn-around of a school bus as part of the approval process.
106 107 108 109 110 111 112	<ul> <li>in Chester. (Mike confirmed this as well.) The SAU assumption would be that the Chester development would be required to have Town-approved roads and an area provided to accommodate operation / turn-around of a school bus as part of the approval process.</li> <li>o As per the earlier discussion, it was agreed that group's direction would be to have a</li> </ul>
106 107 108 109 110 111 112 113	<ul> <li>in Chester. (Mike confirmed this as well.) The SAU assumption would be that the Chester development would be required to have Town-approved roads and an area provided to accommodate operation / turn-around of a school bus as part of the approval process.</li> <li>o As per the earlier discussion, it was agreed that group's direction would be to have a cul-de-sac constructed as part of the Phase 1 buildout. As part of this process, we would</li> </ul>
106 107 108 109 110 111 112 113 114	<ul> <li>in Chester. (Mike confirmed this as well.) The SAU assumption would be that the Chester development would be required to have Town-approved roads and an area provided to accommodate operation / turn-around of a school bus as part of the approval process.</li> <li>o As per the earlier discussion, it was agreed that group's direction would be to have a cul-de-sac constructed as part of the Phase 1 buildout. As part of this process, we would need to assess and agree upon where the community bus-stop should be placed,</li> </ul>
106 107 108 109 110 111 112 113 114 115	<ul> <li>in Chester. (Mike confirmed this as well.) The SAU assumption would be that the Chester development would be required to have Town-approved roads and an area provided to accommodate operation / turn-around of a school bus as part of the approval process.</li> <li>o As per the earlier discussion, it was agreed that group's direction would be to have a cul-de-sac constructed as part of the Phase 1 buildout. As part of this process, we would</li> </ul>
106 107 108 109 110 111 112 113 114 115 116	<ul> <li>in Chester. (Mike confirmed this as well.) The SAU assumption would be that the Chester development would be required to have Town-approved roads and an area provided to accommodate operation / turn-around of a school bus as part of the approval process.</li> <li>o As per the earlier discussion, it was agreed that group's direction would be to have a cul-de-sac constructed as part of the Phase 1 buildout. As part of this process, we would need to assess and agree upon where the community bus-stop should be placed, especially to accommodate 15 - 20+ parent's cars.</li> </ul>
106 107 108 109 110 111 112 113 114 115	<ul> <li>in Chester. (Mike confirmed this as well.) The SAU assumption would be that the Chester development would be required to have Town-approved roads and an area provided to accommodate operation / turn-around of a school bus as part of the approval process.</li> <li>o As per the earlier discussion, it was agreed that group's direction would be to have a cul-de-sac constructed as part of the Phase 1 buildout. As part of this process, we would need to assess and agree upon where the community bus-stop should be placed,</li> </ul>

- probably require some modification, including elimination of the existing triangle shaped
   traffic island.
- o Sylvia noted that the sight-lines in this area are <u>not</u> good (see report PDF, page 2),
  especially in the direction toward Candia and that additional modifications would have to
  be made. This will be borne out by the result of the Traffic Study.
- Chief Berube said that he has been in this area in the morning and confirmed that
   there is a bus-stop at the Chester Road / Crowley Road intersection for the Candia kids
   and that there are routinely 6 8 cars parked at the intersection already creating an area
   of serious traffic congestion. His concern was that, even if the Chester Students were
   picked up on Tanglewood Drive, that navigation of the Chester Road / Crowley Road
   intersection would be difficult with delays and ~ probably ~ safety issues.
- 133 o <u>Note</u>: it was suggested that we consider utilizing a consolidated bus for Pinkerton
   134 students from both communities.
- 136 Chester Fire Department:
- The current plan calls for just one (1) cistern but the consensus of the Fire Department
  and the Building Inspector is, because of the size and remoteness of the subdivision, there
  should be at least three (3) cisterns on-site. This will need to be fully reviewed by a Fire
  Protection Engineer (FPE) and their recommendations considered.
- 142

147

125

132

135

137

- As per the earlier discussion, it was agreed that group's direction would be to have a culde-sac constructed as part of the Phase 1 buildout. Also, as part of the plan for the cisterns,
  the developer will need to ensure paved pullout space for access by the CFD equipment as
  they cannot block the road when accessing the cistern(s).
- Due to the distance from the center of Town, there is a significant concern over the
   extended Response Time for callouts to the Development. However, they already have a
   Mutual Aid agreement in place with Candia, though no discussions between the two towns
   specific to this Subdivision have occurred yet.
- Due to the distance from the center of Town, the agreement with the Derry Ambulance
   Service will need to be reviewed. The extended Response Time for callouts is of serious
   concern.
- 156

152

The Fire Department also expressed their concern about the sight-lines at the Chester
 Road / Crowley Road intersection.

159	
160	Chester Police Department:
161	
162	• Due to the distance from the center of Town, there is a similarly significant concern over
163	the extended Response Time for callouts to the Development. However, they already have a
164	Mutual Aid agreement in place with Candia, and Police Chiefs Berube and McGillen have
165	already initiated some discussion.
166	
167	• As per earlier discussion, Chief Berube noted that navigation of the Chester Road /
168	Crowley Road intersection would be difficult due to the layout and site-lines. Debra
169	Munson mentioned that there is also a street entering Chester Road on the opposite side, just
170	down from the northern side of the Crowley Road traffic island, making this more
171	complicated.
172	
173	Chester Conservation Commission:
174	
175	• Much of the discussion centered on the potential impact of run-off on neighboring
176	streams and bodies of water $\sim$ and how to mitigate this as much as possible $\sim$ with <b>Chuck</b>
177	Myette providing his expertise in this area. The construction of the site to the approved
178	drainage control designs will be critical.
179	
180	• Chuck stated a full wetland functions and values study should be completed for the site.
181	
182	• Chuck also recommended an endangered species study for the site, and the requirement
183	of natural bottom culverts for wetland crossings.
184	
185	• Chuck mentioned the concern over chemical, particularly lawn fertilizer and lawn
186	pesticide runoff from the site. (Review the feasibility of deed restrictions to minimize this?)
187	
188	• Chuck also discussed the layout of the common / conservation areas of the property that
189	would be deeded to the Town of Chester as part of the project. This will require that
190	monument markers be put in place to identify the boundaries, especially of Wetland No-Cut
191	areas. Also, there will be an <u>annual expense</u> as the Conservation Commission will have to
192	hire a 3 <sup>rd</sup> party service to survey the property to ensure compliance.
193	SNHDC
194 105	SNHPC
195	There was discussion recording the immediate foliated in the main of the state of t
196	• There was discussion regarding the impact of clustering so many wells and septic
197 108	systems in a concentrated area. It was suggested that a Well Yield probability review / study
198	be conducted as well as surveying local residents on Crowley Road to determine existing

199 200 201 202 203 204 205 206 207	well depths and current yield (before construction begins). A big concern is the potential hydraulic impact to Candia residents' wells along Crowley Road. More discussion ensued. The general consensus seemed to be that forecasting water availability from wells is as much art as science due to the number of variables involved and potential impacts / changes however, it would be good for the Town to do a due-diligence study so that ~ if the Crowley Woods residents ever ran out of water ~ we could avoid / minimize any liability. Andrew to follow-up on available reports and information as well as the next steps. He will contact Jeff Adler at DuBois & King to see who might be able to perform such a study.
207 208 209 210 211 212 213	• Sylvia also noted that <b>37</b> of the <b>60</b> proposed lots have some of limitation due to required Wetland No-Cut buffers; many have drainage / slope limiting issues; and many contain wet areas such that siting of the houses could be problematic on some of the lots (Lot 30-143 was a particular example of this, with its "pizza-slice" configuration). <b>Myrick Bunker</b> emphasized that these setback limitations on the individual lots could be an issue for owners when they look to subsequently add structures / buildings on their property.
214 215	Follow-up Items:
216 217 218 219	• The Traffic Study is assumed to be underway. When completed, the study should be reviewed to ensure it addresses all the concerns listed so far.
219 220 221 222	• Andrew will be sending out Draft meeting Minutes and setting up a follow-up CTRC Meeting for Monday, <b>August 7<sup>th</sup></b> at 10:00 am.
223 224 225 226	• The Hearing on the Crowley Woods Development plan was adjourned and will resume on <b>August 9<sup>th</sup></b> at 7:15 PM.
227 228	Recorded by Royal Richardson with minor editing by Andrew Hadik.
229 230 231 232	Royal M. Richardson Chairman, Chester School Board
233	These minutes approved at the CTRC meeting on 8-7-17.